1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURECEIVE
2	DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Civil Action No. 08-334 JAN 08 2063
3	JOEL SCHNEID
4	In Regard to the Matter of:
5	Bayside State Prison OPINION/REPORT Litigation OF THE
6	SPECIAL MASTER ROBERT GREENHALGH
7	- vs -
8 9	WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al,
10	Defendants.
11	—
12	
13	
14	* * *
15	FRIDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2008
16	* * *
17	
18	
19	
20	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	Transcript of proceedings in the above
5	matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified
6	Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and
7	Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
8	United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza,
9	Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 1:15 PM.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.
19	Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing
20	251 South White Horse Pike
21	Audubon, New Jersey 08106
22	856-546-1100
23	
24	
25	

APPEARANCES: ROSELLI & GRIEGEL, PC BY: MARK ROSELLI, ESQUIRE - and -BY: CHARLES J. MOORE, ESQUIRE 1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33 HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690 609-586-2257 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS LOUGHRY & LINDSAY, ESQUIRES. BY: JUSTIN T. LOUGHRY, ESQUIRE 330 MARKET STREET CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102 856-968-9201 ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS

б

JUDGE BISSELL: I'm reopening proceedings in the case of Robert Greenhalgh docket number 08-334.

This opinion/report is being issued pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference to a Special Master and the Special Master's Agreement and the guiding principles of law which underlie this decision to be applied to the facts upon which it is based as set forth in the jury instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to the extent applicable to the allegations of Mr. Greenhalgh.

As finalized after review under Local Civil Rule 52.1, this transcript will constitute the written report required under paragraph seven of the Order of Reference to a Special Master.

Mr. Greenhalgh talks essentially about two incidents, one of them involving his cell extraction from A Unit which occurred on or about the second of August and another involving an incident in the shower at a later time. As far as the events of August 2nd with the extraction of the inmates from A Unit, Mr. Greenhalgh has given so many versions of the events of that day, some of them under oath which he later acknowledged were false, that his

credibility as to that is completely undermined.

Frankly, I have no reluctance whatsoever to apply the false in one false in all analysis there because he did, indeed, by his own admission, testify falsely as to a material matter.

And I'll just take a brief moment to review these. I may expand this analysis in the final written opinion under local Rule 52.1.

At trial on the 22nd of April of '08 he testified that he had been knocked down in his cell. He made no references to any events occurring on his walk to the gymnasium. He said that upon arrival in the gym he was punched in the stomach, thrown into a head lock and twisted and at that point struck in the side in the vicinity of his left knee causing an injury to that knee.

Indeed, there is a contemporaneous medical record, D-237, which shows he did have a complaint about his knee on the fourth of September of '97 and with knee strain for which he was provided Motrin.

At his deposition, however, on the 19th of June, 2002 he testified, and of course I'm summarizing, that approximately ten officers came into his cell on the date of extraction, forced him

ļ

to the floor, kicked him, punched him, slapped him, grabbed his arms and legs, squeezed his arms behind his back and pushed his head into the ground. At his trial testimony at page 173, he said that didn't happen.

In his deposition under oath, he said that a hundred cops were lined up on the way to the gym, they cursed and hit him on his way to the gym all along the line.

Page 180 of his transcript before the court he said it didn't happen and that he was not telling the truth then. Once again, of course, he had been under oath at the time.

His deposition testimony, of course, had also mentioned the head lock at the gym and the banging into his knees in the plural while his handcuffs were taken off.

In his sworn answers to interrogatories, Exhibit D-239, he stated that he had been beaten by the guards on the gauntlets, again, acknowledging at the trial that that wasn't true.

He had stated that he had had injuries to both knees from being struck. Acknowledged, no, it was only his left knee. And his allegations in the sixth amended complaint mirrored the

interrogatories.

Looking through all of this and piecing together what might or might not have happened, there is considerable inconsistency, admitted false testimony under oath, and some level of consistency with regard to a head lock and a knee injury at the door of the gym. Nevertheless, the admitted falsity under oath of his other testimony here, as I said, completely neutralizes any credibility that he might have with regard to the other events supposedly occurring at the gym. One suspects, frankly, that the next time around we'll get yet another version of these events.

Accordingly, I find that as to the incidents regarding his extraction on or about the second of August, 1997, that he's failed to establish his burden of proof by a preponderance of the credible evidence. In fact, his admitted false statements under oath completely scuttle his credibility in that regard.

I now turn to the alleged incident in the shower in which he states, in summary, that upon being backed out of the shower he was touched in the area between each of his buttocks by a baton for approximately a second by one of the SOG officers.

While I find that that is, indeed, embarrassing, that it could well generate emotional shock, so to speak, it is at the very most a minimal It inflicted absolutely no physical injury whatsoever, not even deminimis physical injury and, therefore, under the law in Section 1983 actions and particularly those involving alleged excessive use of force in violation of the Eighth Amendment, he has no basis for the recovery of what are essentially emotional damages here. There was not sufficient physical touching or physical injury to support such a recovery. Under all the circumstances, therefore, assuming for the purpose of the record that he has accurately and consistently described the events occurred upon his extrication from the shower, Mr. Greenhalgh has failed to establish a cause of action based on that event.

Finally, although not every item of evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report, all evidence presented to the Special Master was reviewed and considered. For the reasons set forth above, I recommend in this report that the district court enter an order and judgment of no cause for action with regard to Robert Greenhalgh.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CERTIFICATE

I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R. Notary Public, State of New Jersey My Commission Expires May 5, 2010 Certificate No. XIO857 Date: January 2, 2009